## **HW8** solution

- **7.4.1** The likelihood function is given by  $L(\lambda \mid x_1,...,x_n) = \lambda^n \prod (1+x_i)^{-\lambda-1}$ . The prior distribution has density given by  $\pi(\lambda) = \beta^{\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \lambda} / \Gamma(\alpha)$ . The posterior density is then proportional to  $\lambda^{n+\alpha-1} \prod (1+x_i)^{-\lambda} e^{-\beta \lambda} = \lambda^{n+\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \ln\left(\prod (1+x_i)\right)\right) e^{-\beta \lambda} = \lambda^{n+\alpha-1} \exp\left[-\lambda \left(\ln \left(\prod (1+x_i)\right) + \beta\right)\right]$ , and so the posterior is a Gamma $(n+\alpha, \ln \left(\prod (1+x_i)\right) + \beta)$  distribution. Hence, this is a conjugate family.
- **7.4.2** The likelihood function is given by  $L(\theta \mid x_1, ..., x_n) = \theta^{-n} I_{[x_{(n)}, \infty)}(\theta)$ . The prior distribution has density given by  $\pi(\theta) = \theta^{-\alpha} I_{[\beta, \infty)}(\theta) / (\alpha 1) \beta^{\alpha 1}$ , where  $\alpha \ge 1$  and  $\beta > 0$ . The posterior density is then proportional to  $\theta^{-n-\alpha} I_{[x_{(n)}, \infty)}(\theta) I_{[\beta, \infty)}(\theta) = \theta^{-n-\alpha} I_{[\max\{x_{(n)}, \beta\}, \infty)}$ , which is of the same form as the family of priors and so this is a conjugate family for this problem.
- **9.1.5** By grouping the data into five equal intervals each having length 0.2, the expected counts for each interval are  $np_i = 4$ , and the observed counts are given in the following table.

Interval	Count		
(0.0, 0.2]	4		
(0.2, 0.4]	7		
(0.4, 0.6]	3		
(0.6, 0.8]	4		
(0.8, 1]	2		

The Chi-squared statistic is equal to 3.50 and the P-value is given by  $(X^2 \sim \chi^2(4)) P(X^2 \geq 3.5) = 0.4779$  Therefore, we have no evidence against the Uniform model being correct.

**9.1.6** First note that if the die is fair, the expected number of counts for each possible outcome is 166.667. The Chi-squared statistic is equal to 9.5720 and the P-value is given by  $(X^2 \sim \chi^2(5)) P(X^2 \geq 9.5720) = .08831$ . Therefore, we have some evidence that the die might not be fair. The standardized residuals are given in the following table.

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
$r_i$	-0.069541	0.214944	-0.467818	-0.316093	0.309772	0.328737

None of these look unusual.